UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,160	08/01/2006	Hanneke Boerstoel	128879	4210
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 07/11/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			EDWARDS, NEWTON O	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/588,160	BOERSTOEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N Edwards	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/1. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable accept	wn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/588,160 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

Applicant urges that 1) all claims 1-13 is sufficiently related that t a thorough search for the subject matter of any group of claims would encompass a search of the remaining claims. A search and examination could be made with out a serious burden.

First of all, applicant has failed to address the Lack of Unity on the merits (37 CFR 1.475 and PCT rule 13) as presented. Secondly Applicant has failed to state a search that would encompass all the claims as alleged. Third, an undue burden is shown of the Primary Examiner by the divergent subject matter (a composite, a method of making a spin dope, and multifilament fibers). The restriction (Lack on Unity) is proper for reasons of record and hereby made FINAL. Note claims 11 and 12 with claim 1-5 will be examined for customer service

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or a) as being clearly anticipated by Hu (WO 03085049 A1) alone or optionally taken with Johnson (WO 0380513 A2).

For the record, the invention defined by a product by process claim is a **PRODUCT** and NOT a process. In re Bridgeford, 357 F.2d 679. It is the patentability of the product

PPTA and nanotubes having an aspect ratio and diameter as claimed.

claimed and <u>NOT</u> of the recited process steps which must be established. <u>In re</u>

<u>Brown</u>, 459 F. 2d 531; <u>In re Wertheim</u>, 541 F. 2d 257. Thus, the product recited by the product by process claims 1,5,11, and 12 is fibers made from a composite material of

Page 3

Hu teaches a composite material made from a mixture of single wall carbon nanotubes (SWNT) and aromatic polyamide such as PPTA. Hu further teaches the SWNT has a diameter in the range of 0.5 nm to about 3.5nm and a tensile strength and modulus as high as 100GPA, for example. Hu still further teaches that the composite contains at least about 1 wt% to at least about 10 % by wt SWNT. Hu yet still further teaches the composite is made into fibers (which includes yarn and multifilament fibers) at page 2 lines 8-10. See page 1 lines 5-20, Page 5 lines 1-10, and page 6 lines 28-30 of Hu for example.

Regarding the issue of aspect ratio, the Primary Examiner has a reason to believe that Hu SWNT inherently posses the claimed aspect ratio due to the same structural Identity (SWNT) as claimed.

Johnson was cited to show SWNT as disclosed in Hu inherently possess an aspect ratio of greater than about 100 as claimed. See page 10 lines 1-5 of Johnson, for example.

The cited patent disclose the state of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,160 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N Edwards whose telephone number is 571-272-1521.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on 571-272-3198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/N Edwards/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794